

Name: _____ Login: cs61a- _____

QUIZ #4

1. (0.5 points) Louis Reasoner doesn't understand why the **lazy evaluator** needs to use a special underlying representation for **thunks**. He suggests that thunks be implemented using **derived** expressions that create meta-Scheme procedures.

In other words, Louis's modifications would cause expressions of the form:

```
(<compound-procedure> <arg1> ... <argn>)
```

to be rewritten automatically as:

```
(<compound-procedure> (lambda () <arg1>) ... (lambda () <argn>))
```

Louis rewrites `actual-value` appropriately to invoke these new thunks:

```
(define (actual-value exp env)  
  (mc-eval (list exp) env))
```

Even if Louis successfully integrates these changes into the lazy evaluator, why will his plan fail? Ignore details of memoization.

On page 404 of the *SICP* textbook, Abelson and Sussman state:

A thunk must package an expression together with the environment, so that the argument can be produced later.

Explain. Why do thunks need to store an environment? Give sample code that demonstrates this need.

2. (0.5 points) Write a procedure `depth` that calculates the maximum depth of a tree that is represented as a list. For example:

```
(depth '())
```

should return 0,

```
(depth '(a b c d e))
```

should return 1, and

```
(depth '(a ((b) c) d (e)))
```

should return 3.

3. (0.5 points) Which of the following *must* be **special forms**? Circle your answers.

<code>+</code>	<code>eval</code>
<code>accumulate</code>	<code>force</code>
<code>apply</code>	<code>if</code>
<code>begin</code>	<code>lambda</code>
<code>car</code>	<code>let</code>
<code>cdr</code>	<code>quote</code>
<code>cond</code>	<code>set!</code>
<code>cons</code>	<code>set-car!</code>
<code>cons-stream</code>	<code>set-cdr!</code>
<code>define</code>	<code>stream-car</code>
<code>delay</code>	<code>stream-cdr</code>
<code>eq?</code>	

What does `begin` do?